

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 09/09/2005

| PPLICATION NO. FILING DATE                  |                 | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |              |  |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--------------|--|
| 10/613,913 07/03/2003                       |                 | Alastair M. Reed     | P0851               | 4658             |              |  |
| 23735                                       | 7590 09/09/2005 |                      |                     | EXAMINER         |              |  |
| DIGIMARO                                    |                 |                      | DESIRE, GREGORY M   |                  |              |  |
| 9405 SW GEMINI DRIVE<br>BEAVERTON, OR 97008 |                 |                      |                     | ART UNIT         | PAPER NUMBER |  |
|   | ,               |                      |                     | 2625             |              |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   |  |   | Application No. Applicant(s)   |  |        |  |  |  |
|---|--|---|--|--|--------|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary   |  | 10/61:  | 3,913  | REED ET AL.  |        |  |  |  |
|   |  | Exami   | ner  | Art Unit   |        |  |  |  |
|   |  | <u> </u>  | y M. Desire  | 2625   | ,      |  |  |  |
| 7<br>Period for F   | he MAILING DATE of this commun<br>Leply  | ication appears on  | the cover sheet with the c   | correspondence ac  | ldress |  |  |  |
| THE MA - Extension after SIX - If the peri - If NO peri - Failure to Any reply  | TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR ILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI IS OF THIS COMMUNI IS OF THIS COMMUNI IS OF THIS PROPERTY OF THE PROPERT | CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no unication. D) days, a reply within the ututory period will apply ar will, by statute, cause the | o event, however, may a reply be tin<br>statutory minimum of thirty (30) day<br>id will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from<br>application to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133). |        |  |  |  |
| Status  |  |   |  |  |        |  |  |  |
| 1)⊠ Re  | sponsive to communication(s) file  | d on <i>17 June</i> 200   | 5.   |  |        |  |  |  |
|   |  |   |  |  |        |  |  |  |
| · ·   | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |   |  |  |        |  |  |  |
| Disposition   | of Claims  |   |  |  |        |  |  |  |
| 4a)<br>5)□ Cl:<br>6)⊠ Cl:<br>7)□ Cl:  | Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-10 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 11-18 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.   |   |  |  |        |  |  |  |
| Application   | Papers   |   |  |  |        |  |  |  |
| 10)⊠ The<br>Ap<br>Re  | e specification is objected to by the drawing(s) filed on 03 July 2003 plicant may not request that any objected to placement drawing sheet(s) including a oath or declaration is objected to  | is/are: a)⊠ acce<br>ction to the drawing(<br>the correction is rec  | s) be held in abeyance. Sequired if the drawing(s) is ob   | e 37 CFR 1.85(a).<br>jected to. See 37 C   |        |  |  |  |
| Priority und  | er 35 U.S.C. § 119   |   |  |  |        |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul> |  |   |  |  |        |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)   | Deferences Cited (DTO 202)   |   | ۵) 🗆 العام الأمران   | /PTO 442\  |        |  |  |  |
| 2) Notice of 3) Informati   | References Cited (PTO-892) Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Pon Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or (s)/Mail Date 6/17/05.   |   | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:  |  | O-152) |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/613,913 Page 2

Art Unit: 2625

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Amendment

- 1. Applicant's arguments, see page 7 lines 12-19, filed 6/17/05, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 11-13 under 35 USC 103 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Warnock (6,125,200).
- 2. This application contains claims 1-10 drawn to an invention nonelected without traverse in Paper filed 4/4/05. A response must include cancellation of nonelected claims.

#### Response to Arguments

3. Applicant argues (remarks page 8 lines 13-14) the Takada is concerned with how to best display flaws in materials, and does not seem concerned with the colors of the materials. The examiner disagrees because the examiner believes Takada is concerned with color (note col. 1 lines 38-39). The magnitude of the signal is obtained a black line is displayed. The existence or non-existence of black lines shows a concern with color.

· \_

Application/Control Number: 10/613,913 Page 3

Art Unit: 2625

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 11-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Liao et al (6,788,801) in view of Warnock (6,125,200).

Regarding claim 11 Liao discloses,

Determining a color characteristic for a group of image samples (note col. 3 lines 47-49 and 50, input image including pixels (group of image samples), the input image being a color image has color characteristics that are determined);

Transforming from the group of image samples at least one determined color channel that should receive encoding into a transform domain (note col. 3 lines 51-53 and 55-56, original image which includes pixels group of image samples receive into wavelet transform the transform domain); and

Altering transform domain coefficient of the at least one determined color channel to encode the digital watermark (note fig. 2a, block 215 in connection with col. 3 lines 59-61, wavelet modulator modifies transform domain coefficient).

However, Liao does not disclose determining which plurality of color channels should receive encoding. Warnock discloses selecting color channel from grey scale space (note col. 3 lines 8-10). Liao and Warnock are combinable because they are from the

Application/Control Number: 10/613,913

Art Unit: 2625

same field of endeavor color space conversion. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to select a color channel for encoding in the system of Liao as evidenced by Warnock. The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been identifying text from color space (note col. 2 lines 62-63) for better reproduction of documents (note col. 2 lines 9-10). Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Liao with Warnock to obtain the invention as specified in claim 11.

Regarding method claim 12 Liao and Warnock discloses,

Transforming the altered color channel into a spatial domain (note Liao fig. 2a block 220, inverse wavelet transform performs, transforming altered color channel into a spatial domain).

6. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Liao and Warnock in view of Wang (6,252,971).

Liao and Warnock do not clearly disclose identifying which of the color channels will best hide watermark. Liao and Warnock disclose identifying which of the color channels will best hide watermark (note col. 4 lines 58-60, yellow best hide digital watermarks). Liao, Warnock and Wang are combinable because they are from the same field of endeavor. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to include channel hides digital watermark in the system of Liao and Warnock as evidence by Wang. The suggestion/motivation for

Application/Control Number: 10/613,913

Art Unit: 2625

doing so would have been producing more freedom in screen design. Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Liao, Warnock with Wang to obtain the invention as specified in claim 13.

7. Claims 14-18 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sun et al (5,818,032) in view of Takada et al (6,77,931).

Regarding method claim 14 Sun discloses,

Providing a set of encoding values for an image sample (note fig 1., binary data examiner interprets as encoding values of the rendered image (image sample).

Determining a color characteristic (fig. 1 categorizes yellow) for the image sample (rendered image) based on its color value (provides pixel value examiner interprets as color value)

However, Sun does note disclose selectively scaling in the image sample based on the color characteristic. Takada discloses from selected color changing (scaling) the color based on depth of color (color characteristic) (note col. 2 lines 32-40). Therefore it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to included selective scaling image sample based on color characteristic.

Improved visibility would have been highly desirable feature in the color masking art due it luminance functions and Takada recognizes that improved visibility would be expected when selectively scaling image samples based on the color characteristic of Takada is included in Sun.

Application/Control Number: 10/613,913

Art Unit: 2625

Regarding method claim 15 Sun and Takada discloses,

Wherein scaling effects a change in luminance (note Sun, fig. 2)

Regarding method claim 16 Sun and Takada discloses,

Wherein the scaling comprises a scale to black (note Takada fig. 5, yellow goes to black).

Regarding method claim 17 Sun and Takada discloses,

Wherein the scaling comprises a scale to white (note Takada fig. 5, yellow goes to black to white).

Regarding method claim 18 Sun and Takada discloses,

Wherein the color characteristic comprises yellow content (note Sun fig. 1, 16, yellow value).

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gregory M. Desire whose telephone number is (571) 272-7449. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (6:30-3:00).

Application/Control Number: 10/613,913 Page 7

Art Unit: 2625

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bhavesh Mehta can be reached on (571) 272-7453. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Gregory M. Desire

Examiner

Art Unit 2625

G.D. September 2, 2005